
**اندازه‌گیری کارایی نسبی شرکت‌های حاضر در بورس
اوراق بهادر با رویکرد تحلیل پوششی داده‌ها
(شاخص‌های تکنولوژی اطلاعات)**

*

(/ / : / / :)

() . ()

:

Email: Rostami.re@gmail.com

: *

[]

《 》

.....

[]

[]

[]

[]

()
) (

()

.....

[]

[]

.....

:

[]

:

:

:

:

:

:

[].

[] [] []

[]

(())
())
()

= _____

(DMU)

_____ ...

[]

: ()

(PIP)	(DIP)
$\min \theta_0$ θ_0, λ $\text{بطوریکه} \quad :$ $Y\lambda \leq Y_0$ $\theta X_0 - X\lambda \geq 0$ $\text{ناممغایت} \quad \theta, \lambda \geq 0$ $\text{CCR} \quad : \text{PI0}$ λ $e^t \lambda \leq 1 \quad (\text{CCR-BCC}) : \text{PI1}$ $e^t \lambda \geq 1 \quad (\text{BCC-CCR}) : \text{PI2}$ $e^t \lambda = 1 \quad (\text{BCC}) : \text{PI3}$	$\text{MaxZ} = \mu^t Y_0 + u_o$ μ, V $\text{بطوریکه} \quad :$ $V^t X_0 = 1$ $u_o e - \mu^t Y + V^t x \leq 0$ $\mu^t \geq 0$ $V^t \geq 0$ $(\text{CCR}) \quad U_o = 0 \quad : \text{DI0}$ $(\text{CCR - BCC}) \quad U_o \leq 0 \quad : \text{DI1}$ $(\text{BCC-CCR}) \quad U_o \geq 0 \quad : \text{DI2}$ $(\text{BCC}) \quad U_o = 0 \quad : \text{DI3}$

: ()

(POp)	(DOp)
$\text{Max } \Phi_0$ ϕ_o, λ $\text{بطوریکه} \quad :$ $X\lambda \leq X_0$ $\phi Y_0 - Y\lambda \leq 0$ $\text{ناممغایت} \quad \theta, \lambda \geq 0$ $\lambda \quad : \text{PO0}$ $e^t \lambda \leq 1 \quad : \text{PO1}$ $e^t \lambda \geq 1 \quad : \text{PO2}$ $e^t \lambda = 1 \quad : \text{PO3}$	$\min q = v^t x_0 + V_o$ $\text{بطوریکه} \quad :$ $\mu^t Y_0 = 1$ $V_o e^t - \mu^t Y + V^t x \geq 0$ $\mu^t \geq 0$ $V^t \geq 0$ $(\text{CCR}) \quad V_o = 0 \quad : \text{DO0}$ $(\text{CCR - BCC}) \quad V_o \geq 0 \quad : \text{DO1}$ $(\text{BCC-CCR}) \quad V_o \leq 0 \quad : \text{DO2}$ $(\text{BCC}) \quad V_o \quad : \text{DO3}$

DEA

$$\theta^* = \text{Min} \theta - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^m s_i^- - \varepsilon \sum_{r=1}^s s_r^+$$

: ST

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_j x_{ij} + s_i^- = \theta_{xio} \quad i = (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ m)$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^s \lambda_j y_{rj} + s_r^+ = y_{ro} \quad r = (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ s)$$

$$\lambda_j, s_r^+, s_i^- \geq 0 \quad j = (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n)$$

()

() ()

IT

(X1)

(X2)

(X3)

IS

IT

IT

IT

(Y1) ()

(Y2)

(Y3) (ROI)

()

DEA

DMU

Master

()

CCR_o

CCR_i

BCC_o

BCC_i

CCR_o

(CCR_o-AP)

CCR_i

(CCR_i-AP)

BCC_o

(BCC_o-AP)

BCC_i

(BCC_i-AP)

:()

:()

.....

)

(

()

(

)

BCC

CCR

CCR

()

BCC

()

BCC

CCR

BCC CCR

CCR

BCC

CCR

CCR

BCC

CCR

BCC

CCR

».() .

.«

».() .

.«

».() .

.«

4. Banker, R. D.; Charnes, A. and Cooper, W.W. (1984). "Models for the estimation of technical and scale efficiencies in data envelopment analysis", Management Science, pp: 1078- 92.
5. Banker, R. D., Kauffman, R. J. and Mahmood, R. C. (1990), "Measuring gains in operational efficiency from information technology: study of Positran deployment at Hardee's Inc.", Journal of Management Information Systems, Vol. 7, No. 2, Fall, pp: 29- 54.
6. Barua, A.; Kriebel, C. and Mukhopadhyay, T. (1991). "Information technology and business value: an analytic and empirical investigation", Working paper, University of Texas, Austin, TX, May.
7. Bender, D. H. (1986). "Financial impact of information processing", Journal of Management Information Systems, Vol. 3, No. 2, Fall, pp: 22- 32.
8. Brynjolfsson, E. (1993). "The productivity paradox of information technology", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 36, No. 12, December, pp: 67- 77.
9. Brynjolfsson, E. and Hitt, L. M. (1996). "Paradox lost? Firm level evidence on the returns to information technology spending", Management Science, Vol. 42, No. 4, April, pp: 541- 88.
10. Brynjolfsson, E. and Hitt, L. M. (1998). "Beyond the productivity paradox", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 41, No. 8, August, pp: 49- 55.

-
- 11.Charnes, A.; Cooper, W.W. and Rhodes, E. (1978). "Measuring the efficiency of decision-making units", European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 2, No.6, pp: 429- 44.
 - 12.Chismar, W., and Kriebel, C. (1985). "A Method for Assessing the Economic Impact of Information Systems Technology on Organizations", In Proceedings of the sixth International Conference on Information Systems, 45- 56.
 - 13.Dewan, S. and Kraemer, K. L. (1998). "International dimensions of the productivity paradox", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 41, No. 8, August, pp:56- 62.
 - 14.Guimaraes, T. (1997). "The support and management of user computing in the 1990s", International Journal of Technology Management, Vol. 14, Nos. 6-8, pp: 766- 88.
 - 15.Lucas, H. C., Jr., (1993). "The Business Value of Information Technology: A Historical Perspective and Thoughts for Future Research", in Strategic Information Technology Management: Perspective on Organizational Growth and Competitive Advantage. 359- 374.
 - 16.Mc keen, J.; Smith, H. and Parent, M. (1999), "An Integrative Research Approach to Assess the Business Value of Information Technology", In Measuring Information Technology Payoff, Edt by: Mahmood, M, and Szewczak, E,. Idea Group Publishing. pp: 5- 23.
 - 17.Mitra, S. and Chaya, A. K. (1996). ""Analyzing cost-effectiveness of organizations: the impact of information technology spending", Journal of Management Information Systems, Vol. 13, No. 2, Fall, pp: 29- 57.
 - 18.Morrison, C. J. and Brendt, E. R. (1990). "Assessing the productivity of information technology equipment in the US manufacturing industries", National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 3582, January.
 - 19.Rai, A.; Patnayakuni, R. and Patnayakuni, N. (1997). "Technology investment and business performance", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 40, No. 7, July, pp: 89- 97.
 - 20.Roach, S. S. (1991). "Services under siege - the restructuring imperative", Harvard Business Review, Vol. 69, No. 5, September- October, pp. 82- 91.
 - 21.Zimmerman, W. (1988). "American Banker 1988 Managing Technology Survey", The Impact on the Bottom Line. New York: Thompson Publishing.